### Towards explicitly capturing the impacts of land surface heterogeneity on convective updrafts in CESM

2023 CESM Workshop

Megan D. Fowler<sup>1</sup>, Adam Herrington<sup>1</sup>, Richard B. Neale<sup>1</sup>, David M. Lawrence<sup>1</sup>, Tyler Waterman<sup>2</sup>, Paul A. Dirmeyer<sup>3</sup>, Finley M. Hay-chapman<sup>3</sup>, Julio Bacmeister<sup>1</sup>, and Nathaniel W. Chaney<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NCAR <sup>2</sup>Duke <sup>3</sup>George Mason





This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977

June 12, 2023

#### Land-atmosphere communication in CESM2

- Currently: CAM and CLM communicate only grid-cell mean values
- But relatively small-scale land surface heterogeneity can impact the overlying atmosphere
  - Boundary layer clouds & precipitation (Berg and Stull, 2005, Pielke Sr., 2001)
  - Generation of mesoscale circulations (Doran et al., 1995; Avissar and Schmidt, 1998; Bou-Zeid et al. 2005)
  - LWP and TKE (Simon et al. 2021)



Coupled model: Reality

Figure courtesy of Nate Chaney



#### Land-atmosphere communication in CESM2

- Currently: CAM and CLM communicate only grid-cell mean values
- But relatively small-scale land surface heterogeneity can impact the overlying atmosphere
  - Boundary layer clouds & precipitation (Berg and Stull, 2005, Pielke Sr., 2001)
  - Generation of mesoscale circulations (Doran et al., 1995; Avissar and Schmidt, 1998; Bou-Zeid et al. 2005)
  - LWP and TKE (Simon et al. 2021)
- CLASP CPT aims to address this





#### Coupled model: Reality

Figure courtesy of Nate Chaney





### Atmospheric heterogeneity: **CLUBB-MF**

See Witte et al. (2022) for model details



- Multiple updraft plumes initialized when grid-mean surface buoyancy >0
- Plumes undergo stochastic entrainment until eventually the buoyancy flux hits zero



### Atmospheric heterogeneity: **CLUBB-MF**

See Witte et al. (2022) for model details



- Multiple updraft plumes initialized when grid-mean surface buoyancy >0
- Plumes undergo stochastic entrainment until eventually the buoyancy flux hits zero
- Turbulent fluxes of moisture and temperature are split between CLUBB and a new term based on *I* updrafts:

$$\overline{w'\varphi'} = \overline{w'\varphi'}_{\text{CLUBB}} + \sum_{i=1}^{I} a_i (w_i - \overline{w})(\varphi_i - \overline{\varphi}),$$

Witte et al. (2022) Eq. 16



Atmospheric heterogeneity:
**CLUBB-MF**

See Witte et al. (2022) for model details

+ Land surface heterogeneity





Atmospheric heterogeneity:
**CLUBB-MF** See Witte et al. (2022) for model details





### A case study: Aug 24, 2017

- Single-column (SCAM) experiments:
  - DOE ARM Southern Great Plains site with LASSO-VARANAL forcing
  - 2-day "hindcast" targeting warm-season shallow convection days
- New "highly heterogeneous" surface used with same atmospheric forcing
  - Even split between urban, lake, grass, and irrigated cropland
- CLUBB-MF set to use 25 updraft plumes
  - Even division across surfaces = 6 plumes/patch
  - "Extra" plume allocated to warmest patch (based on SHFLX) at each timestep
  - Using a constant entrainment length (i.e., entrainment does not vary in time)



## Diurnal cycle of patch-level fluxes is clear in number of plumes present

























### Those changes drive a response in the turbulent fluxes of moisture and temperature





### Conclusions

- CLUBB-MF paired with surface tile fluxes/states can effectively communicate the impacts of surface heterogeneity into the vertical
  - Depth and speed of updraft plumes vary according to surface type
  - Those changes in plumes drive shifts in larger-scale atmospheric variables
- This approach opens up new possibilities and opportunities:
  - More explicitly representing mesoscale secondary circulations
  - Communicating sub-grid atmospheric information back to the land



#### **Extra Slides**





### Adding heterogeneity using only CLUBB

#### WRF-LES





#### SCAM



Time-height plots of (left) *HOM* and (right) *HET-HOM*, averaged over all 60 days; and (right) Stippling indicates significant differences at the 95% confidence level.



#### More on atmospheric response on 9/24





#### Sensitivity to entrainment length scale

Histograms of updraft

speeds from 7a-7p

days simulated.

local time over all 74

 $L_{\varepsilon} = 50 \text{ m}$ 







### Entrainment rate sensitivity: Not only updraft speed, but also moments





1/27



### Roughly similar signals over all 74 days









# Most changes in turbulent moments/variances stem from the MF component, not CLUBB



 $kg/kgm s^{-1}$ 

 $Km s^{-1}$ 

0.100

0.050

0.000

-0.050

-0.100

3.000e-05

1.500e-05

0.000e+00

-1.500e-05

-3.000e-05

NCAR UCAR

### Average atmospheric response: Reduced cloud frac and a shift in diurnal cycles





1/27



### **Average atmospheric response:** Cloud fraction and rainfall response to HET





1/27



### Average atmospheric response: *Reduction of in-plume condensation*



