Simulation of the Eocene hothouse climate using CESM2

Jiang Zhu NCAR/CGD



Collaborators: B. Otto-Bliesner, E. Brady, Zhun Guo, V. Larson, B. Medeiros



This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977.

Cenozoic extreme climates help assess climate sensitivity in models



Modified after IPCC AR6 Figure TS.1

CESM2 with a high ECS overestimates ΔT for Eocene & LGM



PaleoCalibr

LGM global cooling vs ECS

1. *Remove an unphysical limiter* on cloud ice number (one line of code change)

Historical warming

2. Decrease the microphysical timestep (one parameter change; Δ t: 600s \Box 75s)



Zhu et al., 2022, JAMES

Can CESM2-PaleoCalibr simulate the early Eocene?



Hypothesis: problems in radiation (RRTMG)?

Kluft et al. (2021) (see also Popp et al. 2014; Seeley & Jeevanjee, 2021)

- lookup tables are out of bounds
- □ Unrealistic, amplified ECS increase with warming



Benchmark radiation schemes using PyRads (line-by-line)





Testing individual schemes: turbulence & shallow convection (CLUBB)?



PaleoCalibr+CLUBBmods = PaleoCalibr + CLUBB_C8 × 1.2 + minimal tuning



CLUBB_C8 damps the 3rd moment of vertical velocity and promotes stratocumulus

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \overline{w'^3}}{\partial t} &= \underbrace{-\overline{w}}_{ma} \frac{\partial \overline{w'^3}}{\partial z} \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\rho_s}}_{ta} \frac{\partial \rho_s \overline{w'^4}}{\partial z}}_{ta} \underbrace{+3 \frac{\overline{w'^2}}{\rho_s}}_{ps} \frac{\partial \rho_s \overline{w'^2}}{\partial z}}_{ps} \underbrace{-3 \overline{w'^3}}_{ac} \frac{\partial \overline{w}}{\partial z}}_{bp1} \underbrace{+\frac{3g}{\theta_{vs}}}_{bp1} \underbrace{-C_{15} K_m \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{g}{\theta_{vs}} \overline{w'\theta'_v} - \overline{u'w'} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial z} - \overline{v'w'} \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial z}\right)}_{bp2}}_{pp2} \\ \underbrace{-\frac{C_8}{\tau} \overline{w'^3}}_{pr1} \underbrace{-C_{11} \left(-3 \overline{w'^3} \frac{\partial \overline{w}}{\partial z} + \frac{3g}{\theta_{vs}} \overline{w'^2\theta'_v}\right)}_{pr2} \\ \underbrace{+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[(K_{w8} + \nu_8) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \overline{w'^3}\right]}_{dp1} \\ + \frac{\partial \overline{w'^3}}{\partial t} \Big|_{cl} \\ Larson, 2017 \end{split}$$

Possible climate transitions from breakup of stratocumulus decks under greenhouse warming

Tapio Schneider 1.2*, Colleen M. Kaul¹ and Kyle G. Pressel¹



Summary

• CESM2 <u>runs away</u> under realistic Eocene conditions

- PaleoCalibr improves but not good enough
- Moist turbulence scheme (CLUBB) likely has a too strong drying effect on low clouds under warming, leading to the runaway
- CLUBBmods stabilizes Eocene & lowers ECS



Runaway in coupled CESM Eocene simulations

